



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

**COPY MAILED**

**OCT 08 2009**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

**VINSON & ELKINS, L.L.P.**  
**FIRST CITY TOWER**  
**1001 FANNIN STREET, SUITE 2500**  
**HOUSTON TX 77002-6760**

In re Application of	:	
Smith et al.	:	
Application No. 10/715,337	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: November 17, 2003	:	
Attorney Docket No. KER020/4-1DIVUS	:	

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed August 18, 2009, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to reply in a proper and timely manner to the final Office action mailed December 15, 2008. A three-month extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) was timely obtained. Accordingly, this application became abandoned on June 16, 2009. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed August 5, 2009.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and fee of \$405.00 and the submission required by 37 CFR 1.114; (2) the petition fee of \$810.00; and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.

Further, 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. If the statement contained in the instant petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), the statement contained in the instant petition is being construed as the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) and petitioner must notify the Office if this is **not** a correct interpretation of the statement contained in the instant petition.


It is not apparent whether the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute this patent. In accordance with 37 CFR

1.34(a), the signature appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he/she is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf he/she acts.

Additionally, petitioner has submitted \$400.00 towards the \$405.00 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) fee. Accordingly, petitioner's deposit account has been charged the additional \$5.00 shortage.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-7751.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 1651 for processing of the Request for Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114 filed with the instant petition.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joan Olszewski". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a prominent initial "J" and a long, sweeping underline.

Joan Olszewski  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions